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Abstract—It has recently been shown that distributed queue-based adaptation of CSMA's contention aggressiveness can provably optimize network utility. However, such an approach is fragile, in that it suffers high performance degradation under conditions of asymmetric channels, heterogeneous traffic, and packet collisions. In this work, we address the main sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA to design a distributed system for proportional-fair throughput that delivers high performance in a wide-range of network conditions. First, we generalize prior optimal CSMA models to incorporate individual per-link modulation and coding rates. With such a model, we derive adaptive principles that maximize utility under arbitrary channel capacities. Second, we propose a novel structure that can be used in the place of queues to provide optimal CSMA adaptation. As such a structure does not use traffic backlog to operate, the resulting adaptation is optimal for the set of backlogged flows under general traffic arrival patterns. Third, we propose a robustness function that reduces access attempts in high contention scenarios to avoid high performance degradation due to collisions. By evaluating our approach in combined scenarios that incorporate the three main sources of performance degradation, we observe vast performance gains, with an average 68% higher logarithmic utility compared to prior solutions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, an analytical framework has been proposed to derive distributed CSMA algorithms for network utility maximization [6]. The main idea in such designs is to adapt the back-off distribution at each transmitter based on the length of queues at the MAC layer in a way that was rigorously shown to approach the optimal network-wide throughput distribution. Based on this method, an umbrella of distributed protocols have been derived, showing high performance gains in scenarios considered by the model [6]–[11].

However, later experimental work has shown that the same approach is fragile, and can suffer from high performance degradation as the model assumptions break [14]. In particular, are three the main sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA networks: channels asymmetries, packet collisions at flow receivers, and dynamic traffic patterns such as congestion-controlled flows. While other real-world conditions can differ from those assumed by the models (see [13] for a longer list), their impact has been found to be minor in comparison with these three performance degradation sources.

In this work, we derive a novel CSMA system for proportional fairness using a mixed approach that jointly considers optimization and robustness. On the one hand, we derive techniques to relax the assumptions on channel symmetry and traffic arrival patterns from design models, so that the optimization becomes inherently robust to such conditions. On the other hand, we introduce a robustness function that limits performance degradation due to collisions by reducing network access when contention levels are high. By accounting for the three underlying sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA, the derived system outperforms current approaches in a wide range of network operating conditions. Our contributions are as follows.

First, we generalize the throughput model in [6] to the case of networks with arbitrary link capacities to incorporate adaptive modulation and coding rates. While our model is based on a simple extension, it is powerful enough to extend CSMA optimality analysis from the specific case of fixed unitary capacity to general capacity assignments, and to derive adaptation principles robust to such conditions. Furthermore, with this model we show how to derive a distributed CSMA protocol that maximizes proportional-fair throughput in networks with channel asymmetries without explicit knowledge of channel error probabilities.

Second, we observe that prior queue-based CSMA guarantees optimal adaptation only when the arrival of packets at each queue follows a specific process derived from the target utility function. For other arrival patterns, the performance of the system remains unspecified, which leads to severe performance degradation under common traffic such as bursty flows and TCP traffic. To solve this, we propose a novel structure, termed the service meter, which emulates the operation of a queue, thus inheriting the basic properties that allow optimization, but uses a fictitious flow of abstract transmission units, so that its evolution over time is not affected by (real) traffic arrivals. With such a structure, adaptation can be shown optimal for the set of backlogged flows under general traffic arrival patterns.

Third, the prior adaptation principle of optimal CSMA models assumes no packet collisions, and can yield severe performance degradation when the network contention levels are high. We show that the goal of optimizing performance alone conflicts with the goal of robustness, such that optimal access can only be attained by arbitrarily increasing the contention rate at all flows, while collisions can only be reduced by decreasing it. Based on this observation, we propose a combined system that balances optimality and robustness by targeting near-optimal access in scenarios with low contention, but reducing channel access to avoid interference as the...
number of contending flows increases.

Finally, we evaluate the performance of our design against other solutions under the three sources of performance degradation above. Our results show that in scenarios with channel asymmetries our generalized throughput model increases optimization accuracy up to 4 times. In scenarios with heterogeneous traffic, the use of service meters delivers vast fairness gains, restoring the throughput of (otherwise) starving flows. In scenarios with high contention, our approach increases the network throughput with respect to optimal CSMA of about 78% by limiting collisions at flow receivers. Furthermore, the joint operation of these three solutions delivers high performance across a wide-range of network operating conditions, with up to 68% average increase in logarithmic utility in randomly-generated networks with 48 flows.1

II. The Optimal CSMA Framework

A. Network model

Optimal CSMA is an analytical framework proposed in [6] for design of distributed CSMA algorithms maximizing different measures of network performance [6]–[11]. Such works model a wireless network using a graph \( G = (V, E) \) where \( V \) is the set of nodes and \( E \subseteq V^2 \) is the set of links. Denote as \( F \subseteq E \) the set of traffic flows, of size \( F = |F| \). It is assumed that a distinct packet queue \( Q_f \) is maintained for each flow \( f = (i, j) \) at the MAC layer of node \( i \) (the transmitter, or source node). The queue temporarily stores packets until a transmission opportunity is granted to \( f \).

Interference among links has been captured using a conflict graph (e.g., [6]), or an interference matrix (e.g., [9]). In either case, define an Independent Set (IS) in \( G \) as a subset of flows that do not interfere with each other, and thus can successfully transmit simultaneously. An IS is represented by a tuple \( m \in \{0, 1\}^F \), where \( m_f = 1 \) if \( f \) belongs to the independent set. Denote as \( \mathcal{N} \) the set of all ISs in \( G \).

Assuming unitary modulation rates at all links, and no channel errors, the capacity area of the network is defined as

\[
\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in [0, 1]^F : \exists \pi \in [0, 1]^{|\mathcal{N}|}, \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \times m_f, \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m = 1 \}
\]

i.e., the set of all throughput distributions in the network that are feasible by activating non-interfering links. Note that the area \( \Gamma \) so defined is a convex region, as the convex combination of ISs.

B. Queue-based CSMA optimization

The optimal CSMA framework captures complex interactions among nodes in a multi-hop network using the continuous-time CSMA model from [1]. In such a model, the transmitter of a flow \( f \) waits for a silent back-off time exponentially distributed with mean \( 1/\lambda_f \) before transmitting, and uses a transmission duration exponentially distributed with mean \( \mu_f \). In the following, we denote such a model as \( \text{CSMA}(\lambda, \mu) \).

The dynamics of a CSMA protocol operating in the network \( G \) can then be captured with a reversible Continuous Time Markov Chain (CTMC) \( M \), where the set of states is \( \mathcal{N} \), and the transmission probabilities depend on the CSMA parameters \((\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{2 	imes F}\). Defining \( q_f = \log(\lambda_f \times \mu_f) \forall f \in F \), the stationary distribution of \( M \) is given by

\[
\pi^*_m = \frac{\exp \left( \sum_{f \in F} (q_f \times m_f) \right)}{\sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \exp \left( \sum_{f \in F} (q_f \times m_f) \right)} \quad \forall m \in \mathcal{N}
\]

Thus, assuming fixed unitary link capacities, and that simultaneous transmissions over interfering links are always avoided by Carrier Sensing (CS) [2], the flow throughput distribution can be determined as

\[
\gamma_f = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi^*_m \times m_f \quad \forall f \in F
\]

For any \( \gamma \) in the interior of \( \Gamma \), there exists \((\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{2 \times F}\) such that \( \forall f \in F, \gamma_f \leq \gamma_f^\Gamma \) [6]. Furthermore, [6] shows that if the input rate at all MAC-layer queues is within the CSMA capacity region, all such queues are stabilized by adapting the value of \( q \) over time as a scaled version of the queue lengths.

More precisely, time is divided into small intervals indexed by \( t \in \mathbb{N} \). Denote as \( Q_f[t] \) the queue length of flow \( f \) at the beginning of interval \( t \). Also, denote as \( \lambda_f[t] \), and \( \mu_f[t] \) respectively the medium access rate, and the transmission duration used by flow \( f \) during interval \( t \). Defining \( q_f[t] = b \times Q_f[t] \forall f \in F \) (with \( b \) a small positive real value), all MAC-layer queues are stabilized by adapting the CSMA parameters so that

\[
\lambda_f[t] \times \mu_f[t] = \exp(q_f[t]) \quad \forall f \in F
\]

for each interval \( t \). In other words, by periodically adapting the CSMA parameters using rule (2), any throughput distribution \( \gamma \) in the interior of \( \Gamma \) is supported.2

Finally, [6]–[9] use the adaptation rule (2) to navigate the convex region \( \Gamma \) and derive subgradient methods to approximately solve different optimization problems. For example, denoting as \( S_f[t] \) the throughput received by a flow \( f \) during interval \( t \), the proportional-fair throughput maximization problem

\[
\max_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\gamma_f) \right\}
\]

can be solved by injecting \( V/q_f[t] \) data into each flow queue \( Q_f \) during each interval \( t \) (where \( V \) is a positive real number), so that the variation of queue length during interval \( t \) is given by \( \Delta Q_f[t] = ((V/q_f[t]) - S_f[t]) \). The idea is that \( \Delta Q_f[t] \) captures a subgradient step of the logarithmic function over the area \( \Gamma \) to approach the maximum point (3).

This last step requires assumptions; (i) all queues are assumed non-empty throughout the system execution for the

1 Due to space constraints, some of these results are presented as a companion technical report in [5].

2 In the case of shared transmitters, local contention within a node is resolved deterministically by serving the flow \( f \) with larger \( \lambda_f \) at each interval \( t \).
objective in (3) to be fixed over time; (ii) timescale separation is needed for the network to converge to its steady-state within one time interval, so that \( S_f[t] \) well-approximates the value \( q(t) \); and (iii) at least \( V/q_f[t] \) data from upper layers should be available to be injected into \( Q_f \) during each interval \( t \).

While the assumption on non-empty queues has limited impact (since flows without data to transmit do not need to adapt in any case), and the assumption on timescale separation can be relaxed using the ideas on [9], the assumption of \( V/q_f[t] \) arrivals is hard to relax in such a design in which the medium access rate of flows is exclusively adapted as a function of queue backlog. Nevertheless, when the assumptions of the model hold, optimal CSMA guarantees near-optimal performance, solving optimization problems such as (3) as an approximation algorithm with arbitrarily bounded accuracy.

III. ROBUST CSMA WITH NETWORK OPTIMIZATION

A. Design overview

The powerful analytical framework of optimal CSMA theory allows the derivation of distributed algorithms with probable performance guarantees. However, as discussed in Section II, a number of assumptions are required to prove optimality. Furthermore, later experimental works have shown that the impact of some of those assumptions can be high, significantly degrading the protocol performance in real scenarios. In this section, we design a distributed CSMA protocol for proportional fairness, that addresses the main sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA to deliver high performance across a wide range of networking scenarios. In particular, we overcome the limitations to provide robust operation in the presence of three challenging conditions: channel asymmetries, heterogeneous traffic patterns and high contention.

First, we introduce a generalized version of the model in [6], that accounts for channel asymmetries to capture the relation between flow throughput and transmission time. With the use of such a model, we show how to relax the assumption on fixed unitary capacities from optimal CSMA models by splitting the derivation into two steps: (i) we derive a CSMA protocol that maximizes proportional-fair transmission time without any assumptions on the symmetry of channels; (ii) Using a problem-reduction technique, we show that the same protocol also maximizes proportional-fair throughput in the same scenarios. Furthermore, the protocol operates in a completely distributed way, and does not require explicit knowledge of channel error rates.

Second, we address performance degradation due to heterogeneous traffic jointly with the optimization of transmission time. Solving this problem is hard in optimal CSMA, since the analytical expressions of subgradient steps used to maximize a given objective function only apply to MAC-layer queues under specific traffic arrival rates. In contrast, we show that the subgradient of network utility can also be captured by the use of a novel structure, termed the service meter, whose evolution over time is only affected by the service received by a flow. Thus, any backlogged flow can receive optimal adaptation with such a structure regardless of the traffic arrival rates from upper layers.

Third, a simplifying assumption in optimal CSMA models is that CS always prevents any simultaneous transmissions at interfering links. While the impact of such an assumption may be limited in small networks, we show that it leads to high performance degradation with a large number of contending flows. Furthermore, we show that the goal itself of optimizing performance as captured by such a model is conflicting with the goal of robustness to interference, such that nominal performance can only be maximized by incurring high collisions, and vice versa, robustness to high contention can only be attained by reducing medium access attempts. Thus, we propose a design that adapts to deliver near-optimal performance when the network contention levels are low, yet reduces attempts to avoid interference in scenarios with high contention.

B. A generalized throughput model

Here, we introduce a generalized version of the throughput model in Section II-A that explicitly captures the relation between throughput and transmission time for each traffic flow over links with arbitrary channel capacities. To this end, we model a wireless network using a labeled graph \( G = (V, E, c) \) where \( V \) is the set of nodes, \( E \subseteq V^2 \) is the set of links, and the labels in \( c \in \mathbb{R}_{[0,1]} \) are the capacities of each link in \( E \). As before, denote as \( F \subseteq E \) the set of traffic flows, with \( F = |F| \), and as \( \mathcal{N} \) the set of ISs in \( G \).

Such a formulation is flexible enough to accommodate different notions of channel capacity. In general, we assume \( c_f \) to be the average transmission rate attained by a flow \( f \) in isolation under maximum channel utilization. For example, denoting as \( r_f \) the modulation rate used by the transmitter of flow \( f \), and as \( e_f \) the error probability over the channel used by flow \( f \), the channel capacity of \( f \)'s link is \( c_f = r_f \times (1 - e_f) \).

Under this network model, the set of all feasible transmission-time distributions among flows in \( F \) (constrained over non-interfering links) is defined as

\[
\Psi = \{ \psi \in [0,1]^F : \exists \pi \in [0,1]^{|\mathcal{N}|}, \\
\forall f \in F, \psi_f \leq \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \times m_f, \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m = 1 \}
\]

which is convex, as the convex combination of ISs in \( \mathcal{N} \).

Given a transmission-time distribution \( \psi \in \Psi \), the throughput of a flow \( f \) under the channel capacities \( c \) is given by \( \gamma_f(\psi, c) = \psi_f \times c_f \). Furthermore, the capacity area of \( G = (V, E, c) \) is defined as

\[
\Gamma(c) = \{ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^F : \exists \psi \in \Psi, \gamma = \gamma(\psi, c) \}
\]

which again, is convex as a scaled version of the convex set \( \Psi \). The model in Section II-A can be interpreted as a special case of the model here presented, when \( c_f = 1, \forall f \in F \). However, it is direct to see that the throughput of a flow \( f \) as captured by the two models can significantly differ depending on the value of \( c_f \).
Finally, we redefine the goal of maximizing a proportional-fair throughput distribution in $G$ as
\[
\max_{\psi \in \Psi} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\gamma_f(\psi, c)) \right\}
\]
(4)
i.e., adapting the transmission-time distribution to the one that maximizes the network-wide logarithmic utility of throughput. In the following sections, we derive a distributed CSMA algorithm that approximately solves (4) with no explicit knowledge of the values in $c$.

C. Transmission-time optimization under heterogeneous traffic

1) Transmission-time CSMA optimality: Consider the network model in Section III-B. In this section, we derive a distributed CSMA algorithm that solves
\[
\max_{\psi \in \Psi} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\psi_f) \right\}
\]
(5)
robust to channel asymmetries and heterogeneous traffic arrival patterns. Later, in Section III-D, we will show that the same algorithm also solves (4), with arbitrarily bounded accuracy.

In the definition of (5), as well as in the following analysis, we assume that all flows in $F$ always have a packet to transmit. This simplifies analysis by considering a fixed objective over time well-defined over the set $F$, so that an algorithm converging to the optimal point $\psi^*$ can be derived. While in practice queues can become empty, this does not limit the applicability of our method, as we can assume the set $F$ to dynamically adapt in time to include only the set of backlogged flows (which automatically changes the goal defined by (5) as $F$ changes). Furthermore, as long as queues are non-empty, we make no assumption on the packet arrival process from upper layers which is the fundamental aspect to attain robust CSMA adaptation.

We model the operation of a CSMA protocol over the network $G$ with the above described continuous-time CSMA model $CSMA(\lambda, \mu)$. Then, the steady-state distribution of transmission time in the network $G$ is given by
\[
\psi^*_f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \pi^*_m \times m_f \quad \forall f \in F
\]
(6)
where $k$ is defined as $k = \log(\lambda_f \times \mu_f) \forall f \in F$ and the value of $\pi^*_m$ is given by (1).

Also, for any transmission-time distribution $\psi$ in the interior of $\Psi$, there exists a $k \in \mathbb{R}^F$ such that $\forall f \in F$, $\psi_f \leq \psi^*_f$.

2) A novel structure for subgradient methods: The challenge to solve (5) is to derive an expression of the logarithm’s subgradient that can be translated into distributed operations to adapt the CSMA parameters at all network nodes. To this end, the solutions described in Section II use the length of MAC-layer queues as a measure of the service received by each flow, in order to adapt its parameters accordingly.

Unfortunately, the use of queues to regulate CSMA access does not apply well to the problem of optimizing flow transmission time with heterogeneous traffic considered here. First, packets may not be removed from a queue in case of unsuccessful transmission. Thus, queue length variations naturally reflect the amount of throughput received by a flow, but are not suitable to measure transmission time. Second, it requires the assumption that, at any time interval, the packet arrival rates from upper layers are high-enough to maintain the required queue length for optimal adaptation.

Our key contribution is the design of a novel structure that captures the subgradient of the utility function in order to solve (5), without the use of traffic backlog. Instead, it acts like a counter that records the amount of service received by a flow $f$, using abstract service units. We refer to such a device, depicted in Fig. 1, as the service meter, denoted as $K_f$. Since the service meter does not use any packets to provide adaptation, it can be defined to measure service in terms of transmission time, and its operation is not dependent on the packet arrival rate from upper layers.

More precisely, each node periodically updates its state over small time intervals indexed by $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote as $K_f[t]$ the value of $K_f$ at the beginning of interval $t$. To provide for CSMA adaptation, denote as $\lambda_f[t]$ the medium access rate used by $f$’s transmitter during interval $t$. Also, denote as $T_f[t]$ the fraction of transmission-time by a flow $f$ during interval $t$. During each interval $t$, a number of service units are added to the service meter $K_f$. Upon a data packet transmission from flow $f$, the corresponding transmission time is subtracted from $K_f$ (even in the case of unsuccessful transmission), such that the “service” received by the service meter during interval $t$ is equal to $T_f[t]$.

Then, the set of service meters can be stabilized by defining $k_f[t] = b \times K_f[t] \forall f \in F$ (with a small positive value $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$), and adapting each flow $f$’s channel access rate at
the end of each interval $t$ as

$$\lambda_f[t + 1] = \exp(k_f[t + 1]) / \mu_f$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

In other words, any target transmission-time distribution $\psi \in \Psi$ can be attained using (7) while incrementing each $K_f$ at a rate $\psi_f$.\footnote{Here, we use the assumption that each flow $f \in \mathcal{F}$ always has at least one packet to transmit. In a practical implementation, if a queue $Q_f$ becomes empty (so that the flow $f$ does not need to be served), remove the corresponding service meter $K_f$ from the system and remove $f$ from $\mathcal{F}$ so that the assumption still holds. Similarly, add a new service meter to the system when a new traffic flow starts.}

Furthermore, by limiting the values of $k_f[t]$ within a range $[k_{min}, k_{max}] \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and adding $V/k_f[t]$ units to $K_f$ during each interval $t$ (with $V \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$), the evolution of $k_f[t]$ is determined by

$$k_f[t + 1] = \left( k_f[t] + b \times \left( \frac{V}{k_f[t]} - T_f[t] \right) \right)^{k_{max}}$$ \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $[k_{max}]_{k_{min}} = \min(\max(\cdot, k_{min}), k_{max})$. Then, $\Delta K_f[t] = (V/k_f[t] - T_f[t])$ can be readily interpreted as a subgradient step to solve the dual of (9), when using (8) together with (7) to provide for CSMA adaptation, as shown in the following result.

3) A distributed CSMA algorithm for proportional-fair transmission-time maximization:

**Proposition 1.** Refer as Algorithm 1 to a protocol using rules (7) and (8) at all nodes to update their CSMA parameters. Then, Algorithm 1 approximately solves (5) with bounded accuracy $\log(|\mathcal{N}|)/V$.

**Proof.** Consider the following optimization problem.

$$\max_{\psi, \pi} \left\{ V \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \log(\psi_f) - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \log(\pi_m) \right\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

s.t. $\forall f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\psi_f \leq \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \times m_f$, $\sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m = 1$

We proceed by showing that (8) can be interpreted as a subgradient step of a dual problem of (9), projected onto $[k_{min}, k_{max}]$. First, we derive the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions to solve (9) as

$$V/\psi_f = \nu_f, \forall f \in \mathcal{F},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

$$-1 - \log \pi_m + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (\nu_f - \eta) \times m_f = 0, \forall m \in \mathcal{N},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

$$\nu_f \times (\psi_f - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \times m_f) = 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{F},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

$$\eta \times (\sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m - 1) = 0,$$ \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

$$\nu_f \geq 0, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$$ \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

where we omit the intermediate step of deriving the Lagrangian $L(\psi, \pi, \nu, \eta)$ of (9) for brevity.

For each flow $f$, define a dual variable $k_f = \nu_f$. Using ideas analogous to [6], [9], (11) and (13) can be satisfied by choosing $\eta = \log(\sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \exp(\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} k_f \times m_f)) - 1$ and $\pi = \pi^k$ (which is equivalent to the adaptation rule (7), through the equality (1)). Furthermore, the subgradient of (10) satisfying (12) is given by

$$\dot{\nu}_f = (V/\nu_f - \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m^k \times m_f)$$ \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

Then, (15) is a subgradient step to solve the dual of problem (9). Since (9) is strictly convex, the subgradient method based on (15) is guaranteed to converge to the solution $\nu^* = (\nu^*_f, f \in \mathcal{F})$. Moreover, if $\nu^* \in [k_{min}, k_{max}]^\mathcal{F}$, (15) is equivalent to adaptation rule (8), through the use of (6). This shows that Algorithm 1 solves (9).

It remains to show that Algorithm 1 solves (5) with bounded accuracy $\log(|\mathcal{N}|)/V$. To see this, note that (5) is equivalent to

$$\max_{\psi \in \Psi} \left\{ \psi \right\}$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

The bound $\log(|\mathcal{N}|)/V$ can be obtained by comparing (16) to (9) and limiting the term $\sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}} \pi_m \log(\pi_m)$ in (9) with the known entropy bound $\log(|\mathcal{N}|)$.

**Remark 1.** While Proposition 1 relies on the assumption that all queues are non-empty throughout the system operation, and that the set of flows $\mathcal{F}$ is fixed over time, in practice the same results can be applied to dynamic scenarios by observing that optimal adaptation in Proposition 1 is attained from any starting point. Then, in the case of changes on the set of backlogged flows, which would imply variations on the optimal point defined by (5), the algorithm continues adapting after each change in the search for the (new) optimal point.

**Remark 2.** The positive term $V/k_f[t]$ in (8) is not dependent on flow packet arrivals from upper layers, and can be added to $K_f$ even if packet sources have been interrupted, to provide continued adaptation at any backlogged flows up to the last packet transmitted. Our performance evaluation shows that this is a fundamental aspect to attain high performance in scenarios with heterogeneous traffic.

For ease of reference, we next provide a description of Algorithm 1. There, we use $Q_f[t]$ to denote the length of $f$’s MAC-layer queue at the beginning of interval $t$.

D. Maximizing proportional-fair throughput over asymmetric channels

We have shown that Algorithm 1 maximizes proportional-fair distributions of transmission time in networks with (or without) channel asymmetries. Next, we extend our analysis to show that the same protocol also maximizes proportional-fair throughput, thus solving (4), in the same scenarios.

We proceed by reducing the problem of maximizing proportional-fair throughput (4) to the problem of maximizing proportional-fair transmission time (5). To this end, refer to the throughput model defined in Section III-B. Then, assuming no packet collisions, which will be treated separately in Section III-E, we have

\footnote{While this assumes that the network converges to a steady state within one interval, such that the measure $T_f[t]$ attains the value of $\psi^k[t]$, the analysis can be readily extended using the ideas in [9] to relax such an assumption.}
Algorithm 1 Distributed CSMA adaptation

To be executed by the transmitter of each flow \( f \in F \).

**During interval** \( t \):
1: Run \( CSMA(\lambda[t], \mu) \) while recording the fraction of transmission time \( T_f[t] \) received during interval \( t \)

**At the end of interval** \( t \):
1: if \( Q_f[t+1] > 0 \) then
2: \( k_f[t+1] = \left[ k_f[t] + b \times \left( \frac{c_f}{T_f[t]} - T_f[t] \right) \right]_{k_{min}} \)
3: end if
4: Update \( \lambda_f[t+1] = \exp(k_f[t+1]) / \mu_f \)

**If** \( Q_f \) **becomes empty during interval** \( t \):
1: Reset \( k_f[t] = k_{min} \)
2: Update \( \lambda_f[t] = \exp(k_f[t]) / \mu_f \)

**Equation (17)**

\[
\begin{align*}
\arg\max_{\psi} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\gamma_f(\psi, c)) \right\} &= \arg\max_{\Psi} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\psi_f) + \log(c_f) \right\} = \arg\max_{\Psi} \left\{ \sum_{f \in F} \log(\psi_f) \right\} \\
&= \log(|N|) + \log(|N|) / V
\end{align*}
\]

Equation (17) shows that (5) and (4) are equivalent problems. Next, we use this property to show that Algorithm 1 maximizes proportional-fair throughput in wireless networks without any assumption on the symmetry of channels.

**Theorem 1.** For any choice of channel capacities \( c \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}_{>0} \), Algorithm 1 solves (4) as an approximation algorithm with bounded accuracy \( \log(|N|) / V \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, let a choice of channel capacities \( c \in \mathbb{R}^{|E|}_{>0} \) be given. From Proposition 1, Algorithm 1 solves (5) as an approximation algorithm. Hence, since (5) is equivalent to (4) via (17), Algorithm 1 also solves (4).

It remains to show that the accuracy of Algorithm 1 in solving (4) is bounded by \( \log(|N|) / V \). To this end, denote as \( \gamma^* \) the solution to (4). Similarly, denote as \( \psi^* \) the solution to (5). Also, denote as \( \gamma^i \) and \( \psi^i \) respectively the throughput and transmission-time distributions attained by Algorithm 1 in the same network after convergence. Then,

\[
\left| \sum_{f \in F} (\log(\gamma^*_f) - \log(\gamma^f)) \right| = \left| \sum_{f \in F} (\log(\psi^*_f \times c_f) - \log(\psi^f \times c_f)) \right| = \left| \sum_{f \in F} (\log(\psi^*_f) - \log(\psi^f)) \right| \leq \log(|N|) / V
\]

where the inequality at the last step is given by Proposition 1.

**Remark 3.** Equation (17) implies that the optimal point \( \psi^* \) does not change for different values of \( c \). Thus, once the algorithm has converged to an optimal point, it does not need to re-converge at every change in \( c \), as the same operating point maximizes the network performance across different channel conditions. This property allows Algorithm 1 to natively support modulation rate adaptation without any required extensions, and variations in the channel conditions without continuously tracking the error rate probability at each link.

**E. Robust operation under high contention**

1) **Perfect sensing in CSMA(\( \lambda, \mu \)):** The analytical derivation in the previous sections is based on the continuous-time \( CSMA(\lambda, \mu) \) model, which captures the service received by each flow with simple analytical expressions that integrate well into an optimization framework. A required assumption in the model is that of a “perfect” CS implementation that always avoids any simultaneous transmissions at interfering links. While in practice different conditions can make CS fail, the \( CSMA(\lambda, \mu) \) model is still widely adopted due to its suitability for multi-hop wireless CSMA optimization [6]–[9]. Next, we discuss the performance of algorithms derived with this model, in general scenarios with imperfect sensing.

In a real network, CS may fail to detect an ongoing transmission over interfering links due to either of two situations [4]: (i) propagation delays introduce a detection delay such that two neighbor nodes may not detect each other’s transmissions if they decide to transmit at nearly the same time, and (ii) attenuated signals may not be strong enough to be detected if two interfering transmitters are relatively far from each other, a situation widely known as hidden terminals. In any of the two cases, interference from other links at a flow’s receiver can prevent the successful reception of a transmitted packet (which is referred to as a collision).

Prior works use the assumption that the effects of hidden terminals can be limited using RTS-CTS handshakes. In the following analysis, we also rely on this assumption which was validated by experimental work in [14] yielding good results.

For the case of collisions with neighbor transmitters, instead, prior work suggests that the effects of imperfect sensing are limited by keeping long back-off times [17]. The idea is that, when capturing access based on the \( CSMA(\lambda, \mu) \) model, back-off times and transmission durations can be jointly expanded while still guaranteeing an optimal adaptation at all flows. For example, in the case of Algorithm 1, (7) shows that choosing a long transmission duration \( \mu_f \), the access rate assigned to each flow \( f \) is reduced.

2) **The optimization-robustness conflict:** While the condition of long transmissions is necessary in order to reduce access aggressiveness by multiple flows and limit collisions, in the following we show that such a condition is insufficient to guarantee robust operation across multiple system configurations. Furthermore, we show that optimizing the system performance as captured by \( CSMA(\lambda, \mu) \), and guaranteeing robust operation of CS are two conflicting goals, such that nominally-optimal access can only be attained by incurring in high collisions, and vice versa, collisions can only be limited by reducing network access.

To see this, first note that our solution (as well other protocols derived from the same optimization framework),
it is not possible to simultaneously attain optimal access rates at all involved flows, consequently increasing the collision probability. Nominal performance and robustness to interference, such as fragmentation and aggregation at the MAC layer), highly depends on the number of contending flows. Thus, the level of contention is an essential metric for the robust configuration of optimal CSMA. As the network topology can change over time, we propose an adaptive system that periodically updates the value of $V$ based on the network conditions.

The key idea is to exploit the broadcast nature of wireless transmissions to derive an estimation of the network contention level. For example, in a scenario with symmetric contention, each node can estimate the number of contending flows by overhearing packet transmissions from neighbor flows. Then, the relations in Fig. 2 can be used at each node to independently select the configuration of $V$ that yields the desired balance between optimization accuracy and robustness (later on in this section we explain how to ensure a symmetric choice of $V$ in the case of asymmetric scenarios).

More precisely, assume that time is divided into epochs of equal length and indexed by $n$. During an epoch $n$, all network flows distributedly estimate a measure $\Omega_n$ of the network contention level (measured in number of mutually-contending flows). At the end of epoch $n$, each node uses $\Omega_n$ to select a value $V_{n+1}$ to be used as the configuration $V$ during the next epoch. Fig. 3 shows a diagram of the system operation.

An additional challenge is given by the fact that, for the results in sections III-C and III-D to hold, the value of $V$ should be the same at all network flows. While in a symmetric scenario all flows have the same number of neighbors, in general networks different nodes may experience different contention levels. In such cases, we use the maximum level of contention in the network in order to select $V$. Denoting as $V$ the number of contending flows detected by node $i$ by the start of epoch $n$, we define the maximum network contention level
as $\Omega_n = \max_{i \in V} \{\omega^i_n\}$. The idea is to satisfy the robustness requirement in the entire network by selecting a value of $V$ that yields low collisions at the point with maximum contention (and thus also in other points).

Furthermore, the value of $\Omega_n = \max_{i \in V} \{\omega^i_n\}$ can be determined distributedly in a multi-hop network using the lightweight gossiping protocol in Algorithm 2. In our solution, a different instance of such an algorithm is executed at each epoch $n$. At the end of epoch $n$, the resulting value of $\Omega_n$ is used at node $i$ to update its configuration of $V$. It is easy to show by induction on the set of nodes, that for any connected network, the estimation $\hat{\Omega}^i_n$ at each node $i$ converges to the value of $\max_{j \in V} \{\omega^j_n\}$ (we omit the proof for brevity).

Algorithm 2 Maximum contention level estimation

To be executed by each node $i \in V$ during an epoch $n$.

- At the start of epoch $n$:
  1. Set $\hat{\Omega}^i_n = \omega^i_n$

- Before a packet $p$ is sent by $i$:
  1. Set the field $p.$epoch = $n$
  2. Set the field $p.'\Omega' = \hat{\Omega}^i_n$

- After packet $p$ is received (overheard) by $i$:
  1. if $p.$epoch = $n$ and $\Omega^i_n < p.'\Omega'$ then
  2. Set $\hat{\Omega}^i_n = p.'\Omega'$
  3. end if

- At the end of epoch $n$:
  1. Return $\hat{\Omega}^i_n$ as the estimated value of $\max_{j \in V} \{\omega^j_n\}$.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

We validate our design by means of extensive simulations. As discussed before, three are the main sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA; channel asymmetries, heterogeneous traffic patterns and collisions under high contention. We have both evaluated the performance of our solution (i) in the presence of each of these sources of performance degradation separately, revealing how each proposed protocol feature provides robust operation under different conditions; and (ii) in randomly-generated networks that combine multiple conditions in the same scenarios to evaluate the operation of the system as a whole.

Due to space constraints, we move the evaluation of isolated performance factors to a companion technical report in [5]. The results presented there can be summarized as: 4 times increase in optimization accuracy by our generalized throughput model in scenarios with channel asymmetries; orders-of-magnitude higher utility by the use of service meters in scenarios with heterogeneous traffic, due to the throughput increase at short-lived and congestion-controlled flows; and up to 78% increase of throughput with automatic $V$ adaptation in scenarios with high contention. We devote the rest of this section to the protocol evaluation in combined, randomly-generated network scenarios.

To this end, we generate networks using random node coordinates uniformly-distributed in a $3000 \times 3000$ m terrain. Flows are generated by randomly selecting node pairs within a maximum transmission range of 184 m. All shown results are averages obtained over 100 randomly-generated networks. We consider different network sizes, with 16, 32, and 48 flows, obtaining qualitatively similar results for each of them. Here, we present the results obtained for the case with 48 flows, which has the widest range of contention levels. We randomly assign different traffic types to each flow including CBR, Pareto ON-OFF, and TCP traffic. To increase traffic diversity, we consider 4 possible bitrates for CBR and Pareto ON-OFF flows (0.5 Mbps, 1 Mbps, 1.5 Mbps, and 2 Mbps), randomly assigned among flows of such types.

For the protocol implementation, we use extensions to Glomosim for optimal CSMA that have been validated experimentally by prior works [13], [14]. In addition, we implemented custom support for independent per-link modulation rates, using separate BER tables for each rate. The modulation rate at each flow is assigned based on the SNR measured at the receiver in isolation, so that shorter links use higher rates. We maintain a fixed $\mu^\diamond$ = 2.32 ms at each flow using a combination of packet aggregation and fragmentation at the MAC layer. For the epoch-based adaptation of $V$ derived in Section III-E3, we maintain a loose synchronization among nodes using data packet transmissions. The total overhead required to implement the solution sums up to 2 bytes per packet. The $V$ values in use are 2, 8, 32, 125, 500, and 2000.

We measure protocol performance in terms of logarithmic network utility. We normalize the obtained measures by the maximum utility attained by any protocol in the same run, and average the normalized results over the 100 considered instances. The results, depicted in Fig. 4a, show that our design outperforms other solutions, with a 21%-68% utility increase over optimal CSMA with different configurations, and more than 21% average utility gain over 802.11. Furthermore, the cumulative density function of logarithmic network utility in Fig. 4b shows that in more than 90% of the generated network instances, our solution delivers higher utility than the other evaluated protocols.

\[\text{Normalized network utility} = \frac{\text{Utility}_{\text{Normalized}}}{\text{Max Utility}}\]

Fig. 4: Performance attained by different protocols over 100 randomly-generated topologies with multiple per-link modulation rates and heterogeneous traffic.
V. RELATED WORK

There has been extensive research in distributed CSMA optimization. In the following, we present a broad classification that provides an overview while contrasting our contributions to prior work.

Analytical works: Multiple analytical works were devoted to the design and study of distributed CSMA algorithms that maximize different performance measures [6]–[11]. Such works differ on the analytical techniques in use, system model, and the level of overhead in the proposed solutions. For example, [6] was the first to show throughput-optimality of distributed CSMA under the multi-hop model. [7], instead proposed utility-optimal mechanisms based on a fixed-point approximation of the network performance. [8] derives an alternative solution for throughput maximization under slotted time. [9] proposes a generalized version of the algorithm in [6] for utility maximization with no message passing. All these works address network performance optimization through rigorous analytical means within a well-defined set of assumptions. While we also make use of analysis to support our design, our main focus is on addressing sources of high performance degradation for optimal CSMA to deliver robust operation in a wide range of operating settings.

Experimental works: Other works focus on the implementation and experimental evaluation of the systems above described [12]–[14]. The early experiences in [12], [13] mostly focus on implementation aspects, such as the main challenges for system implementation over existing hardware, respectively for the case of wireless networks and wireless sensor networks. The work in [14] evaluates optimal CSMA to identify how different factors affect its performance in practical operational settings. Our work is in part motivated by such studies, which first identified the main sources of performance degradation for optimal CSMA addressed here.

Other works: Like our work, others have also noted the problematic effect of different assumptions in the optimal CSMA models, for example [15]–[19]. However, our approach differs in a number of aspects. For example, while [16] improves the operation of TCP over optimal CSMA via modifications to the transport layer, we propose an extension to optimal CSMA itself that allows optimal adaptation with heterogeneous traffic. And, while [17], [18] propose the use of reservation mechanisms like RTS-CTS to limit the effects of collisions, we are the firsts to study the optimization-robustness conflict under high levels of contention, and propose an adaptive solution.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we address the main sources of performance degradation in optimal CSMA, to derive a distributed system for proportional fairness in networks with channel asymmetries, heterogeneous traffic, and high contention. We propose a novel approach to design that combines robustness with optimization, to overcome the high performance degradation introduced in optimal CSMA by such factors. Our contributions drive the development of future robust and optimal CSMA, enabling high performance across a broad range of network operating conditions.
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